

Absolute Continuity, Lusin Condition and Banach's Conditions T1 and T2

By Ng Tze Beng

Let I be an interval and suppose $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function. We say f satisfies *Banach Condition T2*, if except for a set of measure zero in the image $f(I)$, every value y in $f(I)$ is assumed at most an enumerable number of times in I . We say f is a *Lusin function* or f satisfies *Lusin condition N* if it maps any set of measure zero to set of measure zero. We say f satisfies *Banach Condition T1* if, except for a set of measure zero in the image $f(I)$, every value y in $f(I)$ is assumed at most a finite number of times in I .

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Banach Zarecki Theorem states that f is absolutely continuous if, and only if, f is of bounded variation and satisfies the Lusin condition N . A Banach's Theorem states that f is absolutely continuous if, and only if, f is a Lusin function and that f' is Lebesgue integrable on the set $P_+ = \{x: f \text{ is differentiable finitely at } x \text{ and } f'(x) \geq 0\}$. This result is proved in "*When is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval be of bounded variation, absolutely continuous?*" *The answer and application to generalized change of variable for Lebesgue integral*" and involved the notion of Lusin condition N and Banach condition $T2$. We made use of $T2$ and Banach's Theorem that if f is continuous and a Lusin function, then it satisfies Banach condition $T2$. Instead of checking that the function f satisfies *Lusin condition N* and that it is of bounded variation, we could check if f maps the set of points, E , where f is not differentiable finitely or infinitely to a set of measure zero, if also f maps the set E_∞ , where the derivative is either $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ to a set of measure zero, and if the derivative f' is dominated by a Lebesgue integrable function on the set where the derivative is non-negative to conclude that f is absolutely continuous. (See Theorem 9 below.) This result is equivalent to Banach's theorem as f is a Lusin function if f maps $E \cup E_\infty$ to a set of measure zero. (This is because f is a Lusin function on $[a, b] - E \cup E_\infty$, see Theorem 12 of "*Absolutely Continuous Function on Arbitrary Domain and Function of Bounded Variation*" or *Denjoy Saks Young Theorem*".)

Following Banach, we shall prove Banach's Theorem concerning a continuous function on a closed interval satisfying Lusin condition and another concerning a continuous function of bounded variation satisfies Banach condition $T1$. This shall complement the paper "*When is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval be of bounded variation, absolutely continuous?*" with a proof of the Banach Theorem. We explore these three notions of Lusin's condition, Banach's $T1$ and $T2$ in absolute continuity.

Theorem 1 (Banach). Suppose $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval with $a < b$. Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying Lusin's condition N , that is, f maps set of measure zero to set of measure zero. Then f satisfies Banach's condition $T2$, that is, except for a set of measure zero in the image $f(I)$, every value in $f(I)$ is assumed at most an enumerable number of times in I .

To prove Theorem 1, we shall use the following three results.

Theorem 2. Suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, where $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval. Then for any closed E in I , there exists a measurable subset A of E on which the function f assumes each value y in $f(E)$ exactly once.

Proof. Take a value y in $f(E)$. Since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(y)$ is a closed set in I .

Since E is a closed set, $f^{-1}(y) \cap E$ is also closed in I . Since I is bounded, the infimum of $f^{-1}(y) \cap E$ exists. Let $x_y = \inf f^{-1}(y) \cap E$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is closed, $x_y \in f^{-1}(y)$. Let $A = \{x_y : y \in f(E)\}$. Plainly, f assumes each value of y in $f(E)$, only once on A .

Next, we claim that A is measurable.

For each positive integer n , let

$$E_n = \left\{ x \in E : \text{there exists } k \in E \text{ with } x - k \geq \frac{1}{n} \text{ and } f(k) = f(x) \right\}. \text{ Note that } x \in E_n$$

implies that x cannot be a lower bound of $f^{-1}(f(x)) \cap E$. We next show that the set E_n is a closed set.

Let m be a limit point of E_n . Then there exists a sequence of points (x_i) in E_n such that $x_i \rightarrow m$. For each x_i there exists a point $k_i \in E$ such that $x_i - k_i \geq \frac{1}{n}$ and $f(k_i) = f(x_i)$. Since the sequence (k_i) is bounded, by the Bolzano Weierstrass Theorem, it has a convergent subsequence (k_{n_i}) such that $k_{n_i} \rightarrow t$. Since E is closed, $t \in E$. We also have that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow m$. Then, $x_{n_i} - k_{n_i} \geq \frac{1}{n}$, $f(k_{n_i}) = f(x_{n_i})$. By the continuity of f , $f(t) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(k_{n_i}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_i}) = f(m)$ and $m - t = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n_i} - k_{n_i}) \geq \frac{1}{n}$. It follows that $m \in E_n$. Hence, E_n contains all its limit points and so is closed in I . By definition, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ contains precisely all the non-lower bound of $f^{-1}(y)$ for each y in $f(E)$, $A = E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Since E is closed and each E_n is closed, A is measurable.

Lemma 3. Suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, where $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval. Suppose f satisfies *Lusin's Condition N*, that is, f maps set of measure zero to set of measure zero. Every measurable set E in I contains for each $\varepsilon > 0$, a measurable subset $Q \subseteq E$ such that $m(f(E) - f(Q)) < \varepsilon$, where m is the Lebesgue measure and the function f assumes each of its values at most once on Q .

Proof. Since $E \subseteq I$ is measurable, there exists a sequence of closed sets (F_n) in E such that $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ for each positive integer n and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \subseteq E$ with

$$m\left(E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) = 0. \quad \text{Then } E = F \cup N, \text{ where } F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \text{ and } m(N) = m\left(E - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) = 0.$$

$f(E) = f(F \cup N) = f(F)$ since f is a Lusin function so that $f(N) = 0$. Then

$$f(F) = f\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f(F_n) \text{ and } f(F_n) \subseteq f(F_{n+1}). \text{ Note that since } f \text{ is a Lusin}$$

function, by Theorem 10 of “*Functions Having Finite Derivatives, Bounded Variation, Absolute Continuity, the Banach Zarecki Theorem and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”, each $f(F_n)$ is measurable. By the continuity from below property of Lebesgue measure, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer n_0 such that for all integer $n \geq n_0$, $m(f(F)) - m(f(F_n)) < \varepsilon$. Hence,

$$m(f(F) - f(F_n)) = m(f(F)) - m(f(F_n)) < \varepsilon .$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f(E) - f(F_n) &= f(F \cup N) - f(F_n) \subseteq f(F) \cup f(N) - f(F_n) \\ &\subseteq (f(F) - f(F_n)) \cup (f(N) - f(F_n)) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$m(f(E) - f(F_n)) \leq m(f(F) - f(F_n)) + m(f(N) - f(F_n)) = m(f(F) - f(F_n)) < \varepsilon .$$

In particular, $m(f(E) - f(F_{n_0})) < \varepsilon$. Since F_{n_0} is closed in E , by Theorem 2, there exists a measurable subset Q of F_{n_0} on which the function f assumes each value y in $f(E)$ exactly once. Hence, $f(Q) = f(F_{n_0})$ and so $m(f(E) - f(Q)) < \varepsilon$ and f assumes each of its values at most once on Q .

Lemma 4. Suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, where $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval. Suppose f satisfies Lusin's *Condition N*, that is, f maps set of measure zero to set of measure zero. Every measurable set E in I contains a measurable subset $K \subseteq E$ such that $m(f(E) - f(K)) = 0$, where m is the Lebesgue measure and the function f assumes each of its values in $f(K)$ at most an enumerable number of times in K . That is, for any y in $f(K)$, the preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ is at most denumerable.

Proof.

By Lemma 3, for each positive integer n , there exists a measurable subset $Q_n \subseteq E$ such that $m(f(E) - f(Q_n)) < \frac{1}{n}$ and on which f assumes each of its values in $f(Q_n)$ exactly once in Q_n . Let $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n$. Then for each positive integer n ,

$$f(E) - f(K) = f(E) - f\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n\right) \subseteq f(E) - f(Q_n) .$$

Thus, $m(f(E) - f(K)) \leq m(f(E) - f(Q_n)) < \frac{1}{n}$ for each positive integer n .

Therefore, $m(f(E) - f(K)) = 0$.

Let $y \in f(K)$. Then $y \in f(Q_n)$ for some positive integer n and there exists precisely one point $x \in Q_n$ such that $y = f(x)$. Since K is a countable union of the

Q_n 's, y can belong to at most an enumerable number of the Q_n 's. Thus, f can assume the value y at most an enumerable number of times on K .

Proof of Theorem 1.

For each measurable set E in I , let

$$K_E = \left\{ K \subseteq E : m(f(E) - f(K)) = 0 \text{ and } \begin{array}{l} \text{each } y \text{ in } f(E) \text{ is assumed at most enumerable of times in } K \end{array} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 4, $K_E \neq \emptyset$.

Then the set $\{m(K) : K \in K_E\}$ is bounded above by $m(I) = b - a$. Let $\mu_E = \sup\{m(K) : K \in K_E\}$.

Take $\mu_I = \sup\{m(K) : K \in K_I\}$. Then for any positive integer n , there exists a set $K_n \in K_I$ such that $\mu_I - \frac{1}{n} < m(K_n) \leq \mu_I$. It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(K_n) = \mu_I$. Let

$H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \subseteq I$. Then $m(H) = m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) = \mu_I$. Note that

$f(I) - f(H) = f(I) - f\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) \subseteq f(I) - f(K_n)$ for any positive integer n . Thus,

$m(f(I) - f(H)) \leq m(f(I) - f(K_n)) = 0$ and so $m(f(I) - f(H)) = 0$. Take $y \in f(H)$.

Then $y \in f(K_n)$ for some positive integer n and there exists at most denumerable number of points x in K_n such that $f(x) = y$. Since y can belong to at most denumerable number of the sets $f(K_n)$, there can be at most denumerable number of points x in H such that $f(x) = y$. It follows that $H \in K_I$. Suppose $H = I$. Then every value $y \in f(I) = f(H)$ is assumed at most denumerable number of times on I . This means f satisfies Banach Condition T2.

We assume now that $H \neq I$.

Consider the set K_{I-H} . By Lemma 4, K_{I-H} is not empty. Take a set $U \in K_{I-H}$.

Then $m(f(I-H) - f(U)) = 0$ and each value of y in $f(I-H)$ is assumed at most denumerable number of times in U . Take $y \in f(H \cup U)$. If $y \in f(U)$, then $y \in f(I-H)$ and y is assumed at most denumerable number of times on U . If y is also in $f(H)$ then y is assumed at most denumerable number of times on H and so it is assumed at most denumerable number of times on $H \cup U$. If $y \in f(U)$

and $y \notin f(H)$, then plainly y is assumed at most denumerable number of times on $H \cup U$. If $y \in f(H \cup U)$ and $y \notin f(U)$, then $y \in f(H)$ and so y is assumed at most denumerable number of times on H and hence on $H \cup U$. Now we have

$m(f(I) - f(H)) = 0$ and so $m(f(I) - f(H \cup U)) = 0$. It follows that $H \cup U \in K_I$.

Then since U and H are disjoint, $m(H \cup U) = m(H) + m(U) \leq \mu_I$. Since $m(H) = \mu_I$, $m(U) = 0$.

Since $U \subseteq I - H$, $f(U) \subseteq f(I - H) \subseteq (f(I - H) - f(U)) \cup f(U)$. Therefore,

$$m(f(U)) \leq m(f(I - H)) \leq m(f(I - H) - f(U)) + m(f(U)) = 0 + m(f(U)) = m(f(U)).$$

Thus, $m(f(I - H)) = m(f(U)) = 0$ as $m(U) = 0$ and f is a Lusin function. Since

$f(I) \subseteq f(I - H) \cup f(H)$ and $m(f(I - H)) = 0$, almost every y in $f(I)$, that is every y not in the image $f(I - H)$ belongs to $f(H)$ and so is assumed at most enumerable number of times only on H and so on I . Since $m(f(I - H)) = 0$, this means f satisfies Banach Condition $T2$.

Note that Banach Condition $T1$ implies Banach condition $T2$. The next theorem provides an easy way to determine Banach condition $T1$ by examining the image of the set where the function is not differentiable finitely or not differentiable infinitely. Checking the cardinality of the preimage is somewhat arduous.

Theorem 5. Suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, where $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval. Let

$$E = \{x \in I : f \text{ has no derivative finitely or infinitely at } x\}.$$

Then f satisfies Banach Condition $T1$ if, and only if, $m(f(E)) = 0$.

Proof. Let $Z = \{y \in f(I) : f^{-1}(y) \text{ is infinite}\}$.

Suppose f satisfies Banach Condition $T1$. Then $m(Z) = 0$.

Let $F = f^{-1}(Z) = \{x \in I : f(x) \in Z\}$. Then $m(f(F)) = m(Z) = 0$.

Now consider $E - F$. Then $f(E - F) \cap Z = \emptyset$.

Take any point $x_0 \in E - F$. Then $f^{-1}(f(x_0))$ is finite and is a set of isolated points. Note that f is not differentiable finitely or infinitely on $E - F$. By Theorem 11 of “*When is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval be of bounded variation, absolutely continuous? The answer and application to generalized change of variable for Lebesgue integral*”, $m(f(E - F)) = 0$. Since $f(E) \subseteq f(E - F) \cup f(F)$,

$$m(f(E)) \leq m(f(E - F)) + m(f(F)) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence, $m(f(E)) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose $m(f(E)) = 0$. Let

$$H = \{x \in I : f \text{ is differentiable at } x \text{ and } f'(x) = 0\}.$$

Then by Theorem 3 of “*Functions Having Finite Derivatives, Bounded Variation, Absolute Continuity, the Banach Zarecki Theorem and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”, $m(f(H)) = 0$.

We now examine the set $Z - f(E)$. Take any $y_0 \in Z - f(E)$. Let $F_0 = f^{-1}(y_0)$ is closed in I since f is continuous. Moreover, since $y_0 \in Z$, F_0 is an infinite set. As $y_0 \notin f(E)$, f is differentiable at each point of F_0 . Let x_0 be an accumulation point of F_0 . As F_0 is closed, $x_0 \in F_0$ and so f is differentiable at x_0 . Since x_0 is an accumulation of F_0 , there exists a sequence of points (x_n) in F_0 such that

$$x_n \neq x_0, x_n \rightarrow x_0 \text{ and as } f(x_n) = f(x_0), \text{ the difference quotient } \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_0)}{x_n - x_0} \text{ is}$$

always 0 and so it tends to 0 as $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Thus, 0 is a derived number at x_0 .

Since f is differentiable at x_0 , its derivative at x_0 must be 0. That is $f'(x_0) = 0$.

Therefore, $x_0 \in H$. It follows that $y_0 = f(x_0) \in f(H)$. Hence, $Z - f(E) \subseteq f(H)$. It follows that $m(Z - f(E)) = 0$. Since $m(f(E)) = 0$, $m(Z) = 0$ and so f satisfies Banach Condition *TI*.

Theorem 6. Suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function of bounded variation, where $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval. Then f satisfies Banach Condition *TI*.

Proof. By Theorem 14 (De La Vallée Poussin Theorem) of “*Functions Having Finite Derivatives, Bounded Variation, Absolute Continuity, the Banach Zarecki Theorem and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”, there exists a set N of measure

zero in I such that $m(f(N)) = m(N) = m(v_f(N)) = 0$ and that for all x in $I - N$, f is differentiable finitely or infinitely at x . It follows by Theorem 5 that f satisfies Banach Condition $T1$.

Remark. It follows by Theorem 6, that if f is absolutely continuous, then f satisfies Banach condition $T1$.

Theorem 7. Suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function of bounded variation, where $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval. Then f is absolutely continuous if, and only if, $m(f(E)) = 0$, where $E = \{x \in I : f'(x) = \infty \text{ or } f'(x) = -\infty\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 18 of my article “*Functions of Bounded Variation and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”, there exist a null set N in I such that $m(f(N)) = 0$ and for all x in $I - N$, f is differentiable finitely or infinitely at x and that f is a Lusin function on $I - N - E$, where $E = \{x \in I : f'(x) = \infty \text{ or } f'(x) = -\infty\}$. Since f is a continuous function of bounded variation, by Theorem 8 of “*Functions of Bounded Variation and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*” f is differentiable finitely almost everywhere on I . Thus, $m(E) = 0$.

Suppose f is absolutely continuous, then it is a Lusin function and so $m(f(E)) = 0$, since E is a null set. Conversely, if $m(f(E)) = 0$, then as f is a Lusin function on $I - N - E$, and $m(f(E \cup N)) = 0$, it follows that f is a Lusin function on I . Therefore, by the Banach Zarecki Theorem, f is absolutely continuous.

Suppose we know that a continuous function f maps its set of points, where f is not differentiable finitely or infinitely, to a set of measure zero. Then we only need to check if f' is Lebesgue integrable on the set where f' is finite and whether f maps the set where $|f'|$ is infinite to a set of measure zero.

Theorem 8. Suppose $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Let $E = \{x \in I : f \text{ is not differentiable finitely or infinitely at } x\}$. Suppose $m(f(E)) = 0$.

Then f is absolutely continuous if, and only if, f' is Lebesgue integrable on $I - E$ and $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$, where $E_\infty = \{x \in I : f'(x) = \infty \text{ or } f'(x) = -\infty\}$.

Proof. $m(f(E)) = 0$ implies that f satisfies Banach condition $T1$ and hence $T2$.

Suppose f' is Lebesgue integrable on $I - E$. Therefore, f' is Lebesgue integrable on the set of points, where f is differentiable finitely. Since f satisfies Banach condition T2, by Theorem 13 of the article “*When is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval be of bounded variation, absolutely continuous? The answer and application to generalized change of variable for Lebesgue integral.*”, f is of bounded variation. By the *de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem* (Theorem 15 of “*Functions of Bounded Variation and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”), $m(f(E)) = 0$. Suppose $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$. Since f is differentiable finitely on $I - E - E_\infty$, by Theorem 17 of “*Functions of Bounded Variation and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”, f is a Lusin function on $I - E - E_\infty$. As $m(f(E_\infty \cup E)) = 0$, f is a Lusin function on I . Therefore, by the Banach Zarecki Theorem, f is absolutely continuous.

Conversely, suppose f is absolutely continuous. Then f is of bounded variation and consequently f' is Lebesgue integrable on $I - E$. f is also a Lusin function and so $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$ since $m(E_\infty) = 0$.

Following Banach we also have the following:

Theorem 9. Suppose $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Let $E = \{x \in I : f \text{ is not differentiable finitely or infinitely at } x\}$. Suppose $m(f(E)) = 0$. Let $P_+ = \{x \in I : f \text{ is differentiable finitely at } x \text{ and } f'(x) \geq 0\}$

Then f is absolutely continuous if, and only if, $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$, where $E_\infty = \{x \in I : f'(x) = \infty \text{ or } f'(x) = -\infty\}$ and there exists a function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f' \leq g$ on P_+ and g is Lebesgue integrable on P_+ .

Proof. If f is absolutely continuous, then f is of bounded variation and so f' is Lebesgue integrable and so it is Lebesgue integrable on P_+ . Take g to be f' . Moreover, f is a Lusin function, it follows that $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$, since $m(E_\infty) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$. As $m(f(E)) = 0$, $m(f(E_\infty \cup E)) = 0$ and f is differentiable finitely on $I - E - E_\infty$. Suppose there exists a function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f' \leq g$ on P_+ and g is Lebesgue integrable on P_+ . Then by Theorem 17 of “*When is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval be of bounded variation, absolutely continuous? The answer and application to generalized change of variable for Lebesgue integral.*”, f is absolutely continuous.

Remark. Theorem 9 is a little easier to use for we only need to check two things: $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$ and that f' be dominated above by a Lebesgue integrable function on P_+ .

Given that $m(f(E)) = 0$, where

$E = \{x \in I : f \text{ is not differentiable finitely or infinitely at } x\}$, by Theorem 6, f satisfies Banach condition $T1$ and hence $T2$.

Suppose there exists a function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f' \leq g$ on P_+ and g is Lebesgue integrable on P_+ . Then by Theorem 13 of “*When is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval be of bounded variation, absolutely continuous? The answer and application to generalized change of variable for Lebesgue integral.*”, f is of bounded variation. Then by Theorem 15 of “*Functions of Bounded Variation and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”, there exists a subset $N \subseteq I$ such that f is differentiable finitely or infinitely on $I - N$, $m(N) = m(f(N)) = 0$. Since $E \subseteq N$, $m(f(E)) = 0$. Thus, f is differentiable finitely on the set $I - (E \cup E_\infty)$, where $E_\infty = \{x \in I : f'(x) = \infty \text{ or } f'(x) = -\infty\}$. By Theorem 12 of “*Absolutely Continuous Function on Arbitrary Domain and Function of Bounded Variation*”, f is a Lusin function on $I - (E \cup E_\infty)$. If $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$, then $m(f(E_\infty \cup E)) = 0$. It follows that f is a Lusin function on I . Therefore, by the Banach Zarecki Theorem, f is absolutely continuous.

If f is absolutely continuous, then f is of bounded variation. Then by Theorem 15 of “*Functions of Bounded Variation and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem*”, there exists a subset $N \subseteq I$ such that f is differentiable finitely or infinitely on $I - N$, $m(N) = m(f(N)) = 0$. Since $E \subseteq N$, $m(f(E)) = 0$. Thus, the condition $m(f(E)) = 0$ is necessary for a function f to be absolutely continuous. If f is absolutely continuous, then it is a Lusin function. Since E_∞ is a null set, $m(f(E_\infty)) = 0$. Since f is also of bounded variation on I , by Theorem 6 of “*Absolutely Continuous Function on Arbitrary Domain and Function of Bounded Variation*”, f is differentiable almost everywhere in I and f' is Lebesgue integrable. We can take g to be f' in Theorem 9. This gives another proof of Theorem 9.

Remark. We have shown that if the continuous function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous, then it must satisfy Lusin's Condition N and Banach's Condition $T1$.

Banach had shown that Lusin Condition N and Banach Condition $T1$ is equivalent to Banach's condition S .

A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where I is the closed interval $[a, b]$ with $a < b$, is said to satisfy *Condition S*, if given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any measurable set $E \subseteq I$, $m(E) < \delta \Rightarrow m(f(E)) < \varepsilon$.

Theorem 10. Suppose $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Then f satisfies *Condition S* if, and only if, f satisfies *Lusin Condition N* and *Banach Condition T1*.

Proof.

Suppose f satisfies *Condition S*.

We shall show that f satisfies *Lusin Condition N* and *Banach Condition T1*.

As f satisfies *Condition S*, for any positive integer n there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $m(E) < \delta \Rightarrow m(f(E)) < \frac{1}{n}$. Therefore, for any measurable set E with $m(E) = 0$,

$m(f(E)) < \frac{1}{n}$ for any positive integer n . It follows that $m(f(E)) = 0$. Hence, f satisfies *Lusin Condition N*.

We shall show that f satisfies *Banach Condition T1*, by using a contradiction argument.

Suppose on the contrary, f does not satisfy *Banach Condition T1*. Then there exists a set of values, $H \subseteq f(I)$, where each $y \in H$ is assumed infinitely often on I and which has positive Lebesgue outer measure, i.e., $m^*(H) > 0$. Since f is continuous, the Banach indicatrix function N_f of f is measurable. (A theorem of Banach, see Theorem 3, Chapter VIII page 225 of Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable volume 1*.) It follows that H is measurable and $m(H) = m^*(H) > 0$. The Banach indicatrix function is defined on the range $f(I)$, which is a closed and bounded interval, by

$$N_f(y) = \begin{cases} \text{The number of points in } f^{-1}(y), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \text{ is finite,} \\ +\infty, & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \text{ is infinite} \end{cases}.$$

Then, we claim that there exists a closed measurable set $Y \subseteq H$ such that $m(Y) > 0$. We shall define a sequence of measurable sets (X_i) such that

- (i) $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$,
- (ii) $m(f(X_i)) \geq \frac{m(Y)}{2}$, for all i and

- (iii) For each positive integer i , f assumes each of its values in $f(X_i)$ exactly once on X_i .

We shall define this sequence (X_i) inductively.

Since the set H is measurable, there exists a F_σ set, F , which is a countable union of closed sets (F_n) , i.e., $F = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \right)$ and such that $m\left(H - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\right) = 0$ and $H = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \right) \cup N$, where $m(N) = 0$.

Then $m(F) = m(H) > 0$. By the continuity from below property of the Lebesgue measure, $m(F) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k F_i\right)$ and so there exists a positive integer n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i\right) \geq \frac{m(F)}{2} = \frac{m(H)}{2}$. Let $Y = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} F_i\right)$. Then Y is closed in $f(I)$. As f is continuous, $X = f^{-1}(Y)$ is closed in I . Then by Theorem 2, there exists a measurable set X_1 in $f^{-1}(Y)$ such that f assumes each value of Y exactly once.

Moreover, $m(f(X_1)) = m(Y) \geq \frac{m(Y)}{2}$.

Suppose now that the first k sets of the (X_i) is defined with the properties,

- (i) $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$,
- (ii) $m(f(X_i)) \geq \frac{m(Y)}{2}$, for all i and
- (iii) For each positive integer i , f assumes each of its values in $f(X_i)$ exactly once on X_i .

Note that $X_1 \subseteq X$ and $m(f(X_1)) \geq \frac{m(Y)}{2}$.

Let $E_k = I - \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$. Now f can only assume each of its values on $\bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$ (at most k times) a finite number of times. It follows that each value $y \in Y = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} F_i\right)$ is in the image of $E_k = I - \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$, since $f^{-1}(y)$ is infinite, that is, $Y \subseteq f(E_k)$. Therefore, $m(f(E_k)) \geq m(Y) > 0$. Since f satisfies *Lusin's Condition N*, by Lemma 3, there

exists a measurable set $X_{k+1} \subseteq E_k = I - \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$ such that f assumes each of its values

on X_{k+1} exactly once and $m(f(E_k) - f(X_{k+1})) \leq \frac{m(f(E_k))}{2}$. Note that $X_{k+1} \cap X_j = \emptyset$

for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Since $f(E_k) = (f(E_k) - f(X_{k+1})) \cup f(X_{k+1})$,

$m(f(E_k)) \leq m(f(E_k) - f(X_{k+1})) + m(f(X_{k+1}))$ so that

$m(f(X_{k+1})) \geq m(f(E_k)) - m(f(E_k) - f(X_{k+1})) \geq \frac{m(f(E_k))}{2} \geq \frac{m(Y)}{2}$. In this way, we

define the sequence (X_i) inductively. Plainly, this sequence is a sequence of disjoint measurable subsets in I satisfying properties (i), (ii) and (iii).

We shall now derive a contradiction.

By the Bolzano Weierstrass Theorem, as the sequence $(m(X_n))$ is bounded it has a convergence subsequence $(m(X_{n_i}))$. We claim that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(X_{n_i}) = 0$. Suppose on the contrary, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(X_{n_i}) > 0$. Then there exists an integer N , such that for all $i \geq N$,

$m(X_{n_i}) > \frac{K}{2} > 0$, where $K = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(X_{n_i})$. Therefore, as the sequence (X_i) consists

of disjoint sets, $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} m(X_{n_i}) = \infty$. But as the sets $\{X_i\}$ are mutually disjoint subsets

of I , $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} m(X_{n_i}) \leq m(I) < \infty$ and so $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} m(X_{n_i}) = \infty$ is not valid. This contradiction

shows that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(X_{n_i}) = 0$. Since f satisfies *Condition S*, this implies that for any positive integer n , there exists $\delta_n > 0$ such that, for any measurable set B in I ,

$m(B) < \delta_n \Rightarrow m(f(B)) < \frac{1}{n}$. As $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(X_{n_i}) = 0$, there exists an integer N_n such that

$m(X_{n_i}) < \delta_n$ for all $i > N_n$. It follows that $m(f(X_{n_i})) < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i > N_n$. Therefore,

$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(f(X_{n_i})) = 0$. But $m(f(X_{n_i})) \geq \frac{m(Y)}{2} > 0$ and so the sequence $(m(f(X_{n_i})))$

cannot converge to zero as it is bounded below by $\frac{m(Y)}{2} > 0$. This contradiction

shows that $m(H) = 0$. Therefore, f must satisfy Banach Condition *TI*.

Now we prove the converse by contrapositive argument. Suppose f satisfies *Lusin Condition N* and *Banach Condition TI* but not *Banach Condition S*.

Therefore, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that for any positive integer n , there exists a measurable set $E_n \subseteq I$ such that $m(E_n) < \frac{1}{2^n}$ but $m(f(E_n)) > \sigma$.

Let $E = \limsup_n E_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right)$ and $A = \limsup_n (f(E_n)) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k) \right)$.

If $y \in A$, then $y \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k) = f\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then y must belong to infinite numbers of the $f(E_k)$'s, for if y belong to only finite number of the $f(E_k)$'s, then there exists an integer n_0 such that $y \notin f(E_k)$ for all $k \geq n_0$ and so $y \notin \bigcup_{k=n_0}^{\infty} f(E_k)$ and so $y \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k) \right) = A$, giving a contradiction.

Suppose $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right) = E$. Then x belongs to infinite number of the E_k 's.

Therefore, $y = f(x)$ belongs to infinite numbers of the $f(E_k)$'s and so

$y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k) \right) = A$. Hence, $f(E) \subseteq A$.

Take $y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k) \right) = A$ and $y \notin f(E)$.

Then there exists a sequence of distinct integers (n_k) such that $y \in f(E_{n_k})$.

Therefore, there exists a sequence of points in I , (x_k) such that $x_k \in E_{n_k}$ and $f(x_k) = y$. The sequence (x_k) is a bounded sequence. Therefore, it has a monotone convergent subsequence (x_{j_k}) . Suppose (x_{j_k}) is increasing. If the values the sequence takes is finite, then it has a constant subsequence whose limit is x , then $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right) = E$ and so $y \in f(E)$. Since $y \notin f(E)$, the values the sequence (x_{j_k}) takes must be infinite and so $f^{-1}(y)$ is infinite. Suppose (x_{j_k}) is decreasing. If the values the sequence (x_{j_k}) takes is finite, then it has a constant subsequence whose limit is x , then $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right) = E$ and so $y \in f(E)$. As $y \notin f(E)$ the values the sequence takes must be infinite, and so $f^{-1}(y)$ is infinite. Thus, every $y \in A - f(E)$ is assumed infinite number of times by f in I .

Now $m(E) \leq m\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}$ for all positive integer n . Since $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $m(E) = 0$. Since f satisfies *Condition N*, $m(f(E)) = 0$. Now, note that $\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k) \supseteq \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f(E_k)$ for any positive integer n . By the continuity from above property of Lebesgue measure, $m(A) = m\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k)\right)\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k)\right)$.

As each $m\left(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} f(E_k)\right) > \sigma$, $m(A) \geq \sigma$. Note that $f(E) \subseteq A$ and so

$m(A) = m(A - f(E)) + m(f(E)) = m(A - f(E))$. Hence, $m(A - f(E)) \geq \sigma$. As every value in $A - f(E)$ is assumed infinite number of times in I and $m(A - f(E)) \geq \sigma > 0$, this contradicts that f satisfies *Condition T1*.

Hence, if f satisfies *Lusin Condition N* and *Banach Condition T1*, then f satisfies *Banach Condition S*.

This completes the proof of Theorem 10.

Corollary 11. Suppose $I = [a, b]$ is a closed and bounded interval and $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. If f is absolutely continuous on I , then f must satisfy *Banach Condition S*.

Proof. Suppose f is absolutely continuous on I . Then by the Banach Zarecki Theorem, f satisfies *Lusin's Condition N* and is of bounded variation. Since f is of bounded variation, by Theorem 6, f satisfies *Banach Condition T1* and so by Theorem 10, f satisfies *Banach Condition S*.

Corollary 11 says that *Banach Condition S* is a necessary condition for a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval to be absolutely continuous.