# Intermediate Value Theorem for the Derived Function (Darboux's Theorem) 

## Ng Tze Beng

The intermediate value theorem for continuous function is a very useful result. A lesser known result about differentiable function is that its derived function also has the intermediate value property.

Theorem 1. Let $I$ be an open interval and suppose $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a differentiable function. Let $a, b$ be two points in $I$ such that $a<b$. Suppose $f^{\prime}(a) \neq f^{\prime}(b)$. Then for any value $\gamma$ strictly between $f^{\prime}(a)$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$, there is a point $c$ in $(a, b)$ such that $f^{\prime}(a)=\gamma$.

Proof. Let us define the following function $\mathrm{g}: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $g(x)=f(x)-\gamma x$ for $x$ in $I$. Then $g$ is differentiable and $g^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(x)-\gamma$. If we can show that g has either a relative maximum or a relative minimum at a point $c$ in $(a, b)$, then we are done. Consider the function $h:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, the restriction of g to the closed interval, $[a, b]$. Then since $g$ is differentiable, $g$ is also continuous on $[a, b]$. Therefore, by the Extreme Value Theorem, the restriction of $\mathrm{g}, h$ attains both its maximum and minimum in $[a, b]$. We shall show that at least one of the absolute maximum or absolute minimum occurs in the interior of $[a, b]$. Suppose $h(a)$ is the maximum and $h(b)$ is the minimum. Then for all $x$ in $[a, b], h(x) \leq h(a)$ and $h(x) \geq h(b)$. Hence for all $x$ in $[a, b], f(x)-\gamma x \leq f(a)-\gamma$ a, that is, $f(x)-f(a) \leq \gamma x-\gamma a$. Therefore, for all $x$ in $[a, b]$ and $x \neq a,(f(x)-f(a)) /(x-a) \leq \gamma$. Since $f$ is differentiable at $a$, $f^{\prime}(a)=\lim _{x \rightarrow a^{+}} \frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a} \leq \gamma$. Also we have for all $x$ in $[a, b], f(x)-\gamma x \geq f(b)-\gamma$ $b$, that is, $f(b)-f(x) \leq \gamma b-\gamma x$. Consequently, for all $x$ in $[a, b),(f(b)-f(x)) /(b$ $-x) \leq \gamma$ since $b-x>$ for $x<b$. Since $f$ is differentiable at $b$, $f^{\prime}(b)=\lim _{x \rightarrow b^{-}} \frac{f(b)-f(x)}{b-x} \leq \gamma$. Therefore, we can conclude that $f^{\prime}(a)$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$ are both less than or equal to $\gamma$, contradicting that $\gamma$ is strictly between $f^{\prime}(a)$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$. Similarly, if $h(a)$ is the minimum and $h(b)$ is the maximum, we can show in like manner that $f^{\prime}(a)$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$ are both greater than or equal to $\gamma$, giving a contradiction to that $\gamma$ is strictly between $f^{\prime}(a)$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$. We have thus shown that one of the maximum or minimum of $h$ must occur at a point $c$ in $(a, b)$. Since $h(c)$ is also a relative extremum and $h$ is differentiable, $h^{\prime}(c)=f^{\prime}(c)-\gamma=0$ and so $f^{\prime}(c)=\gamma$. (See Theorem 6.1.2, Calculus, an introduction page 82.) This completes the proof.

Corollary 2. Let $I$ be an open interval and suppose $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a differentiable function. Then the image of the derived function of $f, f^{\prime}(I)$ is also an interval.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 4.6.12 of Calculus, an introduction, page 53 that is the continuous image of an interval is an interval. Let $f^{\prime}(a) \neq f^{\prime}(b)$ be in $f^{\prime}(I)$. We may assume that $f^{\prime}(a)<f^{\prime}(b)$. Theorem 1 says that for any $\gamma$ such that $f^{\prime}(a)<\gamma<f^{\prime}(b), \gamma \in f^{\prime}(I)$. Hence the interval $\left[f^{\prime}(a), f^{\prime}(b)\right] \subseteq f^{\prime}(I)$. Therefore, by the usual characterisation of an interval, $f^{\prime}(I)$ is an interval.

Remark. In the proof of Theorem 1 above, only the right derivative of $f$ at $x$ $=a$ and the left derivative of $f$ at $b$ is used. Thereofore, if the domain of $f$ is
an interval $I$ not necessarily open and if the derivative of $f$ is appropriately defined as the right derivative or left derivative at the end point or end points of its domain $I$, then Theorem 1 holds true when $I$ is just any interval.
Consequently, Corollary 2 is also true when the domain of $f, I$, is replaced by any interval, not necessarily open.

