Change of Variables Theorems by Ng Tze Beng

Suppose g: $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a function and $f: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is another function. Suppose the range of g is contained in [c, d]. The change of variable formula is a formula of the following form

$$\int_{a}^{x} f(g(t))g'(t)dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(x)} f(t)dt \quad \dots \quad (A)$$

for any *x* in the interval [*a*, *b*]. This formula is usually used to find the integral $\int_{a}^{x} H(t)dt$ whenever it is possible to express the integrand H(t) in the form of f(g(t))g'(t), where *f* is a function whose primitive is known or *f* is equal almost everywhere to the derivative of an absolutely continuous function. The change of variable formula (A) requires that *f* be Lebesgue integrable on the domain containing the range of g and that the function f(g(t))g'(t) be Lebesgue integrable on [*a*, *x*] for every *x* in [*a*, *b*]. Now assume that *f* is Lebesgue integrable on [*c*, *d*] and define $F : [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ by $F(x) = \int_{c}^{x} f(t)dt$. Then we can write (A) as

$$\int_{a}^{x} f(g(t))g'(t)dx = F(g(x)) - F(g(a))$$
 ------(B)

for every x in [a, b]. Thus, (B) is equivalent to (A). Assume that the function f(g(t))g'(t) is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b], hence on [a, x] for every x in [a, b]. By virtue of the left hand side of (B) being an indefinite integral of a Lebesgue integrable function, the composite function, $F \circ g$, is absolutely continuous on [a, b]. Conversely, suppose that $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b]. Then $F \circ g$ is of bounded variation and so has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b]. F, being an indefinite integral of a Lebesgue integrable function, is absolutely continuous and so is an N function and has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [c, d]. If g has finite derivative almost everywhere on [a, b], then by Theorem 3 below, $(F \circ g)'(x) = f(g(x))g'(x)$ almost every where on [a, b]. Consequently, since $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b], f(g(x))g'(x) is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b] and (B) holds.

Thus we have deduced the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Suppose g: $[a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a function having finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b] and $f: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable function such that the range of g is contained in [c, d]. Let $F: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ be defined by $F(x) = \int_{c}^{x} f(t)dt$. Then f(g(x)) g'(x) is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b] and $\int_{a}^{b} f(g(x))g'(x)dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x)dx$, if and only if, $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b].

Remark. In the proof of the converse of Theorem 1, we make use of the fact that g has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b]. Question arises if there are functions f and g not having finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b] such that $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b] but $(F \circ g)'(x) \neq f(g(x)) g'(x)$ almost every where on [a, b].

We shall need the next technical result regarding critical points in the inverse image of a set of measure zero.

Theorem 2. Suppose g has derivatives (finite or infinite) on a set E with m(g(E)) = 0. Then g' = 0 almost everywhere on E.

Proof.

Let $B = \{ t \in E : |g'(t)| > 0 \}$. Let $C_n = \{ t \in B : |g'(t)| \ge 1/n \}$ and $B_n = \{ t \in B : |g(s) - g(t)| \ge |s - t|/n, |s - t| < 1/n \}$ for positive integers n.

It is easy to see that $B = \bigcup C_n$. Note that for each x in C_n , there exists k such that $x \in B_k$. This is because if x is in C_n then either $|g'(x)| \ge 1/n$ when g'(x) is finite, or |g'(x)| is infinite. If

$$g'(x)$$
 is finite, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \left| \frac{g(s) - g(x)}{s - x} \right| - |g'(x)| \right| < \frac{1}{2n}$$

for $0 < |s - x| < \delta$. Take any integer k such that k > 2n and $1/k < \delta$. Then we have

$$0 < |s - x| < 1/k \implies \left| \frac{g(s) - g(x)}{s - x} \right| > |g'(x)| - \frac{1}{2n} \ge \frac{1}{2n} \ge \frac{1}{k}.$$

This means that $x \in B_k$. If |g'(x)| is infinite, then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\left|\frac{g(s) - g(x)}{s - x}\right| > 1$

for $0 \le |s - x| \le \delta$. In this case, just take any positive integer k such that $1/k \le \delta$. Then we have

$$0 < |s-x| < 1/k \implies \left| \frac{g(s) - g(x)}{s-x} \right| > 1 \ge \frac{1}{k}.$$

Hence, $x \in B_k$. This implies that $B = \bigcup C_n \subseteq \bigcup B_n \subseteq B$ and so $B = \bigcup B_n$. We shall show that the measure of *B* is 0 by showing that the measure of B_n is zero. Fix an integer *n* and consider any interval *I* of length 1/n and its intersection with B_n , $A = I \cap B_n$. We claim that the measure of *A* is zero. Since m(g(E)) = 0, m(g(B)) = 0 and so m(g(A)) = 0. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, cover g(A) by a countable union of disjoint interval I_k such that $g(A) = \bigcup I_k$ and $\sum m(I_k)$ $< \varepsilon$. Let $A_k = g^{-1}(I_k) \cap A$. Then $A = \bigcup A_k$ and

 $m(A) \leq \sum m(A_k) \leq \sum \sup\{|s-t| : s, t \in A_k\}.$ (1) Note here that $\sup\{|s-t| : s, t \in A_k\}$ exists because A_k is bounded as A is bounded. Observe that $A_k \subseteq I \cap B_n \subseteq I$ and I is an interval of length less than 1/n and so for any s, t in $A_k, |s-t| \leq 1/n$. Thus, by the definition of B_n , for s, t in $A_k, |s-t| \leq n |g(s) - g(t)|$. Hence,

 $\sup\{|s-t|: s, t \in A_k\} \le n \sup\{|g(s) - g(t)|: s, t \in A_k\} \le n m(I_k)$

as $g(A_k) \subseteq I_k$. It then follows from (1) that

$$m(A) \leq n \sum m(I_k) < n\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we conclude that m(A) = 0. We can cover B_n by a countable number of non-overlapping intervals *I*, each of length < 1/n. Thus, by the above argument, the measure of B_n is less than the sum of measure of sets of measure zero and so is of measure zero. It follows that the measure of *B* is 0. Therefore, g' = 0 almost everywhere on *E*.

Theorem 3. Suppose *F* has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [c, d] and g and $F \circ g$ have finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b]. It is assumed that the range of g is contained in [c, d]. Suppose *F* is an *N*-function, i.e., *F* maps sets of measure zero to sets of measure zero. Then $(F \circ g)' = (f \circ g) g'$ almost everywhere on [a, b], where F' = f almost everywhere on [c, d], that is to say, the chain rule holds almost everywhere on [a, b].

Proof. Let $Z = \{x \in [c, d]: F'(x) \text{ does not exist or } F'(x) = \pm \infty \text{ or } F'(x) \neq f(x)\}$. Since *F* has finite derivative almost everywhere on [c, d], the set $\{x \in [c, d]: F'(x) \text{ does not exist or } F'(x) = \pm \infty\}$ has measure zero. Also as F' = f almost everywhere on [c, d], the set $\{x \in [c, d]: F'(x) \neq f(x)\}$ has measure zero. Consequently, the measure of *Z*, m(Z) = 0. Consider the preimage $S = g^{-1}(Z)$ of Z. Let T = [a, b] - S be the complement of S in [a, b]. Then for any t in T, $g(t) \notin Z$ and so F'(g(t)) exists, is finite and F'(g(t) = f(g(t))). Now since g has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b], for any t in [a, b], either g'(t) exists finitely or t belongs to a set of measure zero. Thus, we need to consider only those t in [a, b], where g'(t) exists finitely.

Suppose *t* is in *T* and g is differentiable at *t* (finitely). Then *F* is differentiable at g(t) and so by the usual chain rule, $(F \circ g)'(t) = F'(g(t))g'(t) = f(g(t))g'(t)$. This means $(F \circ g)' = (f \circ g)g'$ almost everywhere on *T*.

Now we consider the chain rule on *S*. Since $g(S) \subseteq Z$, m(g(S)) = 0. Then since g is differentiable (finitely) almost everywhere on *S*, by considering points in *S*, where g is differentiable finitely, by Theorem 2, g' = 0 almost everywhere on *S*. Hence $(f \circ g) g' = 0$ almost everywhere on *S*. Since *F* is an *N* function, $m(F \circ g(S)) = 0$. As $F \circ g$ has finite derivatives almost everywhere on $[a, b], F \circ g$ is differentiable almost everywhere on *S*. Therefore, by Theorem 2, $(F \circ g)' = 0$ almost everywhere on *S*. It follows that $(F \circ g)' = (f \circ g) g' (=0)$ almost everywhere on *S*.

Thus, we have shown that $(F \circ g)' = (f \circ g) g'$ almost everywhere on *S* and on *T* and so $(F \circ g)' = (f \circ g) g'$ almost everywhere on [a, b]. This completes the proof.

Suppose $f: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable and the range of g is contained in [c, d]. Let $F: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ be defined by $F(x) = \int_{c}^{x} f(t)dt$. Then *F* is absolutely continuous and so is an *N* function and also a function of bounded variation and thus has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [c, d]. If g and $F \circ g$ are of bounded variation, then $(F \circ g)' = (f \circ g) g'$ almost everywhere on [a, b] by Theorem 3. We record this conclusion below.

Corollary 4. Suppose $f: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable, g is a function of bounded variation whose range is contained in [c, d]. Let $F: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by $F(x) = \int_{c}^{x} f(t) dt$. If $F \circ g$ is of bounded variation, then $(F \circ g)' = (f \circ g) g'$ almost everywhere on [a, b].

Note that if $f: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable, then $F(x) = \int_{c}^{x} f(t)dt$ is an *N* function and has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [c, d]. Thus, by Theorem 3, we have the following simple deduction of the chain rule holding almost everywhere on [a, b].

Corollary 5. If g and $F \circ g$ have finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b] and F is absolutely continuous, then the chain rule holds almost everywhere on [a, b].

Corollary 6. If g is monotone, $F \circ g$ has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b] and F is absolutely continuous, then the chain rule holds almost everywhere on [a, b].

We have relied on some results concerning absolutely continuous functions. For convenience we state the result here as the next theorem.

Theorem 7. Suppose F is an absolutely continuous function on [a, b].

Then (i) F is a continuous function of bounded variation,

(ii) F is an N function, i.e., it maps sets of measure zero to sets of measure zero,

(iii) F is differentiable (finitely) almost everywhere and F' is Lebesgue integrable.

Moreover, (i) and (ii) implies that F is absolutely continuous (Banach-Zarecki). If F is continuous and satisfies (ii) and (iii), then F is absolutely continuous. In particular, F is absolutely continuous, if and only if, it is the indefinite integral of its derivative. Thus, the indefinite integral of a Lebesgue integrable function is always absolutely continuous.

A very good reference for this theorem is the article "*On Absolutely Continuous Functions*", (The American Mathematical Monthly vol 72 (1963), pp. 831-841) by Dale Varberg.

Now we can prove easily the next theorem.

Theorem 8. Suppose g: $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an absolutely continuous function and let $f: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a bounded Lebesgue integrable function such that the range of g is contained in [c, d]. Then we have the following equality for Lebesgue integrals.

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(g(x))g'(x)dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x)dx \, .$$

Proof. The function $F : [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by $F(x) = \int_{c}^{x} f(x) dx$ is absolutely continuous. Since f is bounded, F is also Lipschitz. Therefore, $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b] (see Proposition 21 of my article "*Change of variable or substitution in Riemann and Lebesgue integration*"). Then Theorem 8 follows from Theorem 1.

Remark. Theorem 8 is Theorem 31 of "*Change of Variable or Substitution in Riemann and Lebesgue Integration*", where I have proved this using a weaker version of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 for absolutely continuous functions instead of functions having only finite derivatives almost everywhere.

If we drop the condition that f be bounded, we then have the following theorem.

Theorem 9. Suppose g: $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an absolutely continuous function and $f: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable function such that the range of g is contained in [c, d] and $(f \circ g) g'$ is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b]. Then we have the change of variable formula for Lebesgue integral,

$$\int_a^b f(g(x))g'(x)dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x)dx \, .$$

Proof. Write f as $f^+ - f^-$, where f^+ and f^- are the positive and negative parts of f, i.e., $f^+(x) = max\{0, f(x)\}$ and $f^-(x) = -min\{0, f(x)\}$. Then f is Lebesgue integrable, if and only if, f^+ and f^- are Lebesgue integrable. For each positive integer n, $f^+_n = \min\{n, f^+\}$ is Lebesgue integrable and f^+_n converges pointwise to f^+ on [c, d]. Obviously, each f^+_n is bounded. Similarly, $f^-_n = \min\{n, f^-\}$ is Lebesgue integrable on [c, d] and converges pointwise to f^- on [c, d]. It follows that $h_n = f^+_n - f^-_n$ is bounded, Lebesgue integrable and converges pointwise to $f^+ - f^- = f$. Then by Theorem 8, $(h_n \circ g) g'$ is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b] and

$$\int_{a}^{b} h_{n}(g(x))g'(x)dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} h_{n}(x)dx . \quad (1)$$

Since $(h_n \circ g)$ g' converges pointwise to $(f \circ g)$ g', which is Lebesgue integrable, by the Lebesgue Dominated convergnce Theorem, the left hand side of $(1) \int_a^b h_n(g(x))g'(x)dx$ converges to $\int_a^b f(g(x))g'(x)dx$. Also since h_n converges pointwise to f and f is Lebesgue integrable, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem again, $\int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} h_n(x)dx$ converges to $\int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x)dx$. Consequently, $\int_a^b f(g(x))g'(x)dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x)dx$.

Corollary 10. Suppose g: $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an absolutely continuous function and $f: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable function such that the range of g is contained in [c, d] and $(f \circ g) g'$ is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b]. Then $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b]

Theorem 11. Suppose g: $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is of bounded variation on [a, b] and $f: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Lebesgue integrable function such that the range of g is contained in [c, d] and $F \circ g$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b], where F is defined as above. Then we have the change of variable formula for Lebesgue integral.

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(g(x))g'(x)dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x)dx.$$

Proof. Since g is of bounded variation on [a, b], g has finite derivatives almost everywhere on [a, b]. The theorem then follows from Theorem 1.

Ng Tze Beng email:tbengng@gmail.com